Los Angeles County Must Invest Strategically in Community Providers to Create “Care First” Pretrial Services

As multiple lawsuits surrounding jail conditions and tragic in-custody deaths have made all too clear, Los Angeles County has failed to implement the “care first, jails last” vision unanimously adopted by the Board of Supervisors (BOS) in March 2020.¹ In a new Vera Institute of Justice (Vera) report, *Investing in Supportive Pretrial Services: How to Build a “Care First” Workforce in Los Angeles County*, county officials attribute implementation delays to a shortage of community-based behavioral health workers.² Drawing on conversations with community providers, Vera’s report documents how removing bureaucratic barriers and investing in service providers will help realize the “care first” vision.³ From this report emerges a clear set of steps Los Angeles County can take to build out an infrastructure for community-based pretrial services and address the urgent needs of system-impacted people:

1. **Allocate $110 million per year for community-based pretrial services.**
   Given the scale of pretrial incarceration and the need to center care, most of this money should go to service providers conducting assessments and doing case management.⁴ As part of the “care first” framework, the county committed to building an independent pretrial services entity situated outside of law enforcement, which is the now the Justice, Care, and Opportunities Department’s (JCOD) Pretrial and Prevention Services division.⁵ However, the county continues to rely heavily on probation-led programs like the Pretrial Release Evaluation Program (PREP 2.0).⁶ Investing in a diverse community-centered service provider ecosystem is necessary to ensure that geographical areas most impacted by incarceration have the service options people need to receive care in their communities—in proximity to their loved ones and support networks.⁷

2. **Increase contract rates and absorb insurance premiums for small community providers.**
   To remedy the shortage of community-based behavioral health workers, community providers need more money to hire and retain staff. In Vera’s survey, nearly all participating organizations cited salary stagnation as a primary reason for their staffing shortages. Competing with the county is a challenge: the average Los Angeles social worker’s monthly salary is $3,784 compared to $4,421.18 to $6,640.82 for county-employed social workers.⁸ Community providers, particularly smaller ones, are unable to provide competitive compensation packages due to outdated contract rates (last negotiated five to 10 years ago), inaccessible contracting processes, and high insurance premium costs. Further, many respondents indicated their biggest capacity-building needs were overhead and expansion costs such as rent, insurance, grant writers, or accountants for reporting and billing purposes.

3. **Simplify county contracting processes and applications for technical assistance programs.**
   Most community providers surveyed lambasted the county contracting process as confusing and overwhelming. The county should simplify, standardize, and streamline contract processes across departments so that its prohibitive eligibility requirements and difficult application process do not keep community providers from obtaining much-needed funding and technical assistance. Although the county’s Incubation Academy provides grant writing, organizational, and financial management support to small organizations, it is not a cure-all: participation and graduation do not guarantee contract awards, and graduates are left to navigate contracting hurdles on their own.⁹

4. **Make it easier for community providers to access and receive funding.**
   Several small organizations in Vera’s survey discussed how slow funding disbursement and reimbursement create cash flow problems. For example, participants reported that Care First Community...
Investment funds allocated in 2021 have yet to be disbursed. The county can quickly expand provider capacity with easily adjustable contracts (length and rate), upfront grants, expedited disbursement and reimbursement processes, and additional capacity-building support, like grant-writing assistance.

5. **Ensure that JCOD implements the Equity in County Contracting (ECC) Workgroup’s recommendations.**

To center care, JCOD needs to ensure its contracting process expedites pretrial service delivery. In August 2021, the BOS established the ECC Workgroup to identify and implement improvements to the contracting process to “strengthen the ecosystem of CBOs [community-based organizations] that serve our highest need residents” in alignment with the “care first” model. JCOD should begin to implement the ECC’s contracting and funding distribution recommendations through its Pretrial and Prevention Services and Contracts and Grants divisions.

6. **Simplify reporting requirements to protect organizational capacity and mitigate staff burnout.**

Most community providers surveyed shared negative experiences with the county’s onerous billing and reporting requirements. County contracts vary by department and require service providers to itemize expenses per case, which strips organizational capacity, can cost more in labor than the services provided, and contributes to staff burnout. Simplifying and standardizing reporting requirements will help small to midsized community providers grow by allowing staff to focus on patient care and client support instead of paperwork.

7. **Help meet the urgent need for more community-based behavioral health workers.**

The BOS recently supported an initiative to create a trainee-to-county-employment pipeline and scale existing peer-based programs for alternative crisis response. It should do the same for pretrial services and those looking to work in community-based settings. The county should offer scholarships, grants, sign-on bonuses, and professional development for behavioral health workers committed to working at community providers for three to five years.
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